

## ILLOGAN PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Planning & Environmental Services Committee held on Wednesday 7<sup>th</sup> August 2019 at 7pm in Penwartha Hall, Voguebeloth, Illogan

**PRESENT:** Cllr Mrs Ferrett (Chairman), Mrs Thompson (Vice Chairman), Crabtree, Ekinsmyth (not a member of this Committee), Ford, Holmes, Pavey, Miss Pollock (not a member of this Committee), Szoka and Williams.

**IN ATTENDANCE:** Ms S Willsher, Clerk; Cllr Desmonde, Cornwall Council; and 12 members of the public (from and to points mentioned).

The Chairman explained the safety procedures.

**PM19/08/1 TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

There were no apologies received; all members were present.

**PM19/08/2 MEMBERS TO DECLARE DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS AND NON-REGISTERABLE INTERESTS (INCLUDING DETAILS THEREOF) IN RESPECT OF ANY ITEMS ON THE AGENDA AND ANY GIFTS OR HOSPITALITY WORTH £25 OR OVER**

There were no interests declared.

**PM19/08/3 TO CONSIDER APPLICATIONS FROM MEMBERS FOR DISPENSATIONS**

There were no applications for dispensations.

**PM19/08/4 TO RECEIVE AND APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THIS COMMITTEE HELD ON THE 3<sup>RD</sup> AND 17<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2019 AND THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THEM**

It was proposed by Cllr Ford, seconded by Cllr Crabtree and:

**PM19/08/4.2 RESOLVED that the minutes of the Planning and Environmental Services Committee meetings held on the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> July 2019 are received, approved and signed by the Chairman.**

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

**PM19/08/5 MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES AND A REPORT ON PROGRESS OF ACTIONS, FOR INFORMATION ONLY**

There were no matters arising.

**PM19/08/6 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON ITEMS ON THE AGENDA (MAXIMUM OF 10 MINUTES – EVERY SPEAKER HAS A LIMIT OF 3 MINUTES UNDER THE COUNCIL'S STANDING ORDERS)**

It was agreed that public participation would be held on two parts. The first part would be for comment on the planning application for Land at Church

Road, the Council would then consider and agree their comments on that application, before returning to public participation for any other items.

### **Land at Church Road**

Members of the public made the following comments:

They queried the remit of Illogan Parish Council and Cornwall Council. They asked if there was any point in the core objector group staying involved at this stage; Cllr Mrs Ferrett responded that as far as she was concerned the proposals were still up for discussion. There were some alterations that were needed to Coastline's proposals. They had drafted an alternative plan, which had been circulated to members, the plan dealt with the entrance/exit road and moved it from the blind bend, it eliminated the 4 houses' rear garden fences facing the village, 2 of those houses now have pedestrian frontage with steps on Church Rd - much nicer - but still vehicular access at the rear, Coastline said there were issues with vehicular access over the steepish slope at the front, so these houses could not face Church Rd, and Coastline still have their massive soak-away under the Public Open Space, but Illoganists get a bit more open space which is now more inclusive of the village because it has Church Rd frontage. There were concerns about the way the development presented itself with fences along the front and the access onto/off a blind bend. There were concerns about the public open space and its location in the development. They requested that Illogan Parish Council insisted on all or some of the points raised above.

There were several issues raised regarding the development in the centre of our village, The Glebe Field. They were told that parking and traffic was not a planning concern. Soon it would be Coastlines concern as their new residents would be exiting their new development in their cars onto a 90° blind bend, where the exit was planned. Accidents would inevitably occur. The road was very dangerous, cars ignored the speed limit which sadly caused the death of their cat just last month, yet traffic was not considered as part of the planning process. Coastline were saying it's the council's problem. Cornwall Council do nothing about it; they had emailed them and had no reply. Illogan Parish Council, please help us to look into this issue.

Ventonraze suffers from terrible flooding, all Robartes Terrace and Church Road water runs down Ventonraze because of the camber of the road. Soon the water from the new development would run down Ventonraze too, as the natural drainage that the field provided would be lost. This would gradually erode the historic tin stream and the ancient holy well of Fenton Ras.

Coastline said they would put in a sump but if this was not maintained Ventonraze would suffer in the future.

Coastline were building so many houses that there was no room for Cornish hedges. So, the whole development would be enclosed by 'out of character' fences. This was not acceptable, so they asked for this to be looked into. We were facing a climate emergency, loss of habitat meant loss of precious wildlife. They were not placated by bee boxes and bat boxes. These measures were not enough. They had applied for TPO's on the sycamore trees to the right of the field, which was denied, and they were told that

these trees should be protected as part of the planning application. They wanted the Parish Council to ensure that this would happen. They wanted to see the trees in Manningham Woods unharmed as well.

Low level bat friendly lighting needed to be incorporated too. Please could the Parish Council ask for a full bat survey to be carried out. This was crucial. Bats fed in this area and roosted nearby. If these measures were not carried out it would be to the detriment of a protected species.

If the development could not be stopped Cornwall Council and Illogan Parish Council needed to demand that it was more in keeping with the village. Six-foot-high fences on Church Road were not in keeping. This was the most historic part of our village. After a four-year battle, it was going ahead against all locals wishes.

There needed to be a larger open space, preferably at the front of the estate. Other estates in the area, such the new Park bottom development and the North Country development had large open spaces at the front. And they would like this too.

They hoped the Council would take these points into consideration and work with Coastline on their behalf to ensure the Glebe Field was aesthetically pleasing to all existing residents.

There were concerns about wildlife. Traffic was also a major issue. It was a green field site that historically had not been ploughed, therefore, the organisms etc in the soil were priceless against climate change. MP's were facilitating the re-wilding of some areas in the country. The nature in the field needed to be supported. There needed to be new trees and hedgerows planted, as little concrete as possible and open weave parking spaces. As much greenery and nature as possible needed to be maintained.

Cllr Desmonde felt sympathy for the loss of the area. He suggested that consideration should be given to the vernacular, design and quality of the build reflects the character and style of the area. The Cornwall Council Design Guide was a good reference.

The Clerk read an email received from a local resident who was unable to attend the meeting - "On page 24 of the Design and Access Statement, Glebe Field was referred to as grazing land. In over 30 years it had seldom been used for grazing. It was species rich in native plant life and invertebrates and was akin to types of lowland meadow and grassland.

In 2019 there was mainstream recognition that areas of this type of localised, fast disappearing UK landscape [estimates of 80-90% already gone] were essential for the survival of healthy world ecosystems.

Attention to the nature of plant and invertebrate life on Glebe Field was extremely limited in the Design Plan and appeared covered in the main by indeterminate planting schemes pushed out to the edges of the development.

The central space around the houses had a lot of concrete and tarmac, some of the gardens appeared very small.

The artificial soakaway, referred to on the design as Open Space was to be amenity grade grass despite recommendations from the 2015 Ecology Report for sympathetic planting wherever possible, in keeping with the nature of the field.

The Plan had no native hedgerow planting on the roadside boundary, despite the fact that the field at this point was on high ground and the proposed development would-be towering over the surrounding neighbourhood.

Coastline made reference to the 2015 Ecology Report on page 7 of the Design and Access Statement that suggested the land had a limited ecological value. This report by its own say so was valid for one year due to the dynamic nature of biodiversity. It would be out of date in August 2016. Coastline had an updated ecological assessment done sometime in June or July 2019 [no actual date given]. The field was cut back to the ground on May 21st. To date - August 3rd - the updated assessment was not available in the documents for the public to see or comment upon."

On Friday there was a swing shovel on site at the same time as 2 ecologists. The swing shovel did not do anything as it was stopped by the ecologists. They wondered why the swing shovel was on site and what it was intending to do.

2 members of the public left the meeting at 7.18pm.

**PM19/08/7**

**TO DISCUSS PLANNING APPLICATIONS RECEIVED UP TO THE DATE OF THE MEETING (CLLR PAVEY)**

- i. IPC2019/045  
PA19/06332  
Ms Hayman, Coastline Housing Ltd, Land at Church Road,  
Illogan, Redruth  
Reserved matters application detailing the appearance,  
landscaping, scale and layout of the development of 33no  
affordable dwellings (31 houses, 2 flats)**

It was proposed by Cllr Pavey, seconded by Cllr Mrs Thompson and:

**PM19/08/7.2**

**RESOLVED**

**that Illogan Parish Council strongly objects to the reserved matters application for Land at Church Road as the current proposed block layout offers a very stark and unattractive face to the main road, local residents and the wider community. The open amenity space provided is tucked away on the interior of the development and as such is totally insufficient to address the concerns of local residents as raised at both the pre-application presentation and the subsequent public consultation. The Council are unhappy with how the development presents itself to Manningham Woods with the houses pushed up against it; it will completely change the area especially when walking the footpath or in the Woods. The bright white render is out of keeping with the area, it will**

cause the development to stand out like a sore thumb and will dominate the village. The render needs to be done in muted colours with slate roofs as the development is on high ground with limited greenery around it. The proposals fail on the aspect of visual amenity, this failure threatens to be un-neighbourly. It is very important that the site looks as green as possible from all aspects and reflects the local vernacular and therefore the Council feel that additional trees should be planted throughout the development.

When returning the Council's comments the Clerk would add the following email from a member of the public including their sketch plan of an amended layout as an appendix:

*"I don't know if our Planning councillors at parish and/or county levels can assist with tinkering with the Coastline Glebe Field plans. Or if they have no powers at all. But if we HAVE to have this estate plonked in the village centre, then surely it should be as aesthetically pleasing as possible and tinkered with until all of us are happy (ish).*

*I've been trying to come up with an alternative plan for the front of the new estate. Those 4 larger houses at the front cause such issues for the village.*

*Here is my idea I've been working on. It deals with the exit road - now NOT on that blind bend and not next to, or opposite another junction.*

*It eliminates the 4 houses' rear garden fences facing the village and giving the rude impression to residents to 'KEEP OUT'.*

*Two of those houses now have pedestrian frontage with steps on Church Rd - much nicer - but still vehicular access at the rear. Coastline said there were issues with vehicular access over the steepish slope at the front, so these houses could not face Church Rd. But this is acceptable surely? Best of both worlds?*

*Coastline still have their massive soak-away under the Public Open Space, but Illoganists get a bit more open space which is now more inclusive of the village because it has Church Rd frontage.*

*Although I am not an architect, I can't see any obvious pitfalls in my reworking of Coastline's plans.*

***This HAS to be a more aesthetically pleasing frontage to the new estate... And I'm not asking to drop any dwellings, which we already know is a big 'NO' in any case. AND it deals with that potentially very awkward and dangerous blind bend access road!"***

The Clerk would also add the following email from a member of the public as an appendix:

***"On page 24 of the Design and Access Statement, Glebe Field is referred to as grazing land. In over 30 years it has seldom been used for grazing. It is species rich in native plant life and invertebrates and is akin to types of lowland meadow and grassland.***

***In 2019 there is mainstream recognition that areas of this type of localized, fast disappearing UK landscape [estimates of 80-90% already gone] are essential for the survival of healthy world ecosystems.***

***Attention to the nature of plant and invertebrate life on Glebe Field is extremely limited in the Design Plan and appears covered in the main by indeterminate planting schemes pushed out to the edges of the development.***

***The central space around the houses has a lot of concrete and tarmac, some of the gardens appear very small.***

***The artificial soakaway, referred to on the design as Open Space is to be amenity grade grass despite recommendations from the 2015 Ecology Report for sympathetic planting wherever possible, in keeping with the nature of the field.***

***The Plan has no native hedgerow planting on the roadside boundary, despite the fact that the field at this point is on high ground and the proposed development will be towering over the surrounding neighbourhood.***

***Coastline makes reference to the 2015 Ecology Report on page 7 of the Design and Access Statement that suggests the land has a limited ecological value. This report by its own say so is valid for one year due to the dynamic nature of biodiversity. It would be out of date in August 2016.***

***Coastline has an updated ecological assessment done sometime in June or July 2019 [no actual***

***date given]. The field was cut back to the ground on 21<sup>st</sup> May 2019.***

***To date - August 3rd - the updated assessment is not available in the documents for the public to see or comment upon."***

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

3 members of the public left the meeting at 7.36pm.

**PM19/08/8**

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON ITEMS ON THE AGENDA (MAXIMUM OF 10 MINUTES – EVERY SPEAKER HAS A LIMIT OF 3 MINUTES UNDER THE COUNCIL’S STANDING ORDERS)**

**Appeal for Land NW of Tranquil Cross**

Cllr Desmonde reported that he would be repeating his objections to the Planning Inspector regarding PA18/09326 – Land NW of Tranquil Cross. The proposals were outside of the settlement boundary defined in the Illogan Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan; it was in open countryside; and was a meadow. South Drive was not able to take a development like this without severe risks to road users.

A member of the public urged the Council to reinforce their objections to the planning application. The Illogan Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan was made on the 31<sup>st</sup> May 2019 and clearly sets out the settlement boundaries of which this application was outside. Climate Change – Section 19(1a) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires Local Planning Authorities to include in their Local Plans “policies designed to secure that the development and use of land in the area contribute to the mitigation of and adaption to climate change”. This would have been a consideration when the Local Plan was examined, thus the taking of agricultural land for housing could not be right when there were plenty of brownfield sites that could be developed first. The application could not be sustainable when there were no bus stops within a mile of the site and no shop within a mile radius. Hence more car journeys were inevitable on a road narrow country road that was very busy with pedestrians, cyclists and horse riders. The proposed entrance/exit was along a track of which the applicant did not have right of way over the whole length required. The houses would amount to a loss of amenity value to the area and completely change the character of the area. New developments should only be allowed within existing settlements. The proposal was overbearing, out of scale and character in terms of appearance compared with existing property in the vicinity. The development would adversely affect highway safety and the convenience of other road users. Planning Officers were required by law to determine such matters in accordance with the Development Plan. The area was covered by a Section 52 Agreement. They urged the Council to reinforce their objections.

**The Annexe and The Caravan**

Cllr Desmonde had looked at road safety around The Caravan and The Annexe further to concerns raised by members of the public and road users. He had met with the Cornwall Council highways officer onsite and discussed

the serious safety concerns highways were implementing measures to alleviate the issues.

### **Sunningdale**

The applicant explained that the application was for 2 semi-detached houses. They would be 2 affordable homes, one for their sister-in-law who had lived in Illogan since birth and the other for their daughter who wanted to return to the village, she grew up in. The Planning Officer saw no reason why the development of the site should be restricted to the 1 dwelling that there was outline planning permission for. The dwellings had been pushed back into the plot to be in line with existing dwellings, there were no objections from highways or the Cornwall Council flood authority.

**PM19/08/9**

#### **TO DISCUSS PLANNING APPLICATIONS RECEIVED UP TO THE DATE OF THE MEETING (CLLR PAVEY)**

- ii. IPC2019/040  
PA19/05985  
Mr Jefferies, CSA Architects, 52 Valley Gardens, Voguebeloth, Illogan  
Proposed two storey extension**

It was proposed by Cllr Pavey, seconded by Cllr Ford and:

**PM19/08/9.2**

- RESOLVED that there are no objections to the planning application for 52 Valley Gardens.**

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

- iii. IPC2019/044  
PA19/05787  
Mr & Mrs Rowe, Shadowlands, 72 Alexandra Road, Illogan  
Alterations and extensions to dwelling**

It was proposed by Cllr Pavey, seconded by Cllr Crabtree and:

**PM19/08/9.3**

- RESOLVED that there are no objections to the planning application for Shadowlands.**

On a vote being taken on the matter there were 7 votes FOR and 0 votes AGAINST.

**PM19/08/10**

#### **TO DISCUSS PLANNING APPLICATIONS RECEIVED UP TO THE DATE OF THE MEETING (CLLR FORD)**

- i. IPC2019/041  
PA19/05867  
Mr Polledri, The West Villa, Tehidy Park, Tehidy  
Listed building consent for internal alterations to layout, external alterations to door and window openings. Re-rendering selected elevations**

It was proposed by Cllr Ford, seconded by Cllr Holmes and:

**PM19/08/10.2 RESOLVED that Illogan Parish Council supports the planning application for The West Villa.**

On a vote being taken on the matter there were 7 votes FOR and 0 votes AGAINST.

- ii. **IPC2019/042  
PA19/05773  
Mrs Johnson, The Caravan, The Old Chapel, Roscroggan,  
Camborne  
Use of mobile home as self-contained dwelling separate to The  
Old Chapel**

It was proposed by Cllr Ford, seconded by Cllr Pavey and:

**PM19/08/10.3 RESOLVED that there are no objections to the planning application for The Caravan.**

On a vote being taken on the matter there were 6 votes FOR and 0 votes AGAINST.

- iii. **IPC2019/046  
PA19/05775  
Mrs Johnson, The Annexe, The Old Chapel, Roscroggan,  
Camborne  
Certificate of lawfulness for previously extended garage  
converted to a self-contained dwelling**

It was proposed by Cllr Ford, seconded by Cllr Pavey and:

**PM19/08/10.4 RESOLVED that there are no objections to the planning application for The Annexe subject to a request that it is inspected to make sure that it complies with building regulations and that there is a condition that permits the development to only be operated by the occupiers of The Old Chapel and that the existing dwelling and The Annexe shall be maintained as a single planning unit thereafter.**

On a vote being taken on the matter there were 6 votes FOR and 0 votes AGAINST.

1 member of the public entered the meeting at 8.05pm.

- iv. **IPC2019/043  
PA19/06009  
Mr James-Woods, Sunningdale, Trevelyan Road, Illogan  
Erection of two dwellings and associated works**

It was proposed by Cllr Ford, seconded by Cllr Mrs Thompson and:

**PM19/08/10.5 RESOLVED that there are no objections to the planning application for Sunningdale.**

On a vote being taken on the matter there were 7 votes FOR and 0 votes AGAINST.

**PM19/08/11 TO RECEIVE CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE PLANNING OFFICER UNDER THE LOCAL COUNCIL PROTOCOL REGARDING PLANNING APPLICATION PA19/04532 – THE COTTAGE, TOLVADDON – CONSTRUCTION OF 4 DWELLINGS INCLUDING NEW ACCESS AND PARKING, AND AGREE A RESPONSE**

2 members of the public left the meeting at 8.12pm.

It was proposed by Cllr Pavey, seconded by Cllr Mrs Thompson and:

**PM19/08/11.2 RESOLVED** that the correspondence from the planning officer under the Local Council Protocol is received regarding PA19/04532 – The Cottage Tolvaddon – Construction of 4 dwellings including new access and parking and that the Council responds that they maintain their objections to the proposal against the planning officer’s recommendation and request that the application is determined by the Cornwall Council Planning Committee.

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

**PM19/08/12 TO RECEIVE CORRESPONDENCE FROM CORNWALL COUNCIL REGARDING THE APPEAL FOR PLANNING APPLICATION PA18/09326 – MR AND MRS CADDY, LAND NW OF TRANQUIL CROSS, SOUTH DRIVE, TEHIDY – OUTLINE PLANNING PERMISSION WITH SOME MATTERS RESERVED: ERECTION OF 5 DETACHED DWELLINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS TO EXISTING ACCESS LANE, CONSIDER WHETHER TO MAKE ANY FURTHER COMMENTS AND AGREE ANY FUTURE ACTIONS**

It was proposed by Cllr Ford, seconded by Cllr Mrs Ferrett and:

**PM19/08/12.2 RESOLVED** that the Clerk sends a copy of the Council’s original objections to planning application PA18/09326 – Land NW of Tranquil Cross to the Planning Inspector and that the following comments are also sent to the Planning Inspector:

**The Illogan Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan was made on the 31<sup>st</sup> May 2019 and clearly sets out the settlement boundaries of which this application was outside. Climate Change – Section 19(1a) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires Local Planning Authorities to include in their Local Plans “policies designed to secure that the development and use of land in the area contribute to the mitigation of and adaption to climate change”. This would have been a consideration when the Local Plan was examined, thus the taking of agricultural land for housing could not be right when there were plenty of**

**brownfield sites that could be developed first. The application could not be sustainable when there were no bus stops within a mile of the site and no shop within a mile radius. Hence more car journeys were inevitable on a road narrow country road that was very busy with pedestrians, cyclists and horse riders. The proposed entrance/exit was along a track of which the applicant did not have right of way over the whole length required. The houses would amount to a loss of amenity value to the area and completely change the character of the area. New developments should only be allowed within existing settlements. The proposal was overbearing, out of scale and character in terms of appearance compared with existing property in the vicinity. The development would adversely affect highway safety and the convenience of other road users. Planning Officers were required by law to determine such matters in accordance with the Development Plan. The area was covered by a Section 52 Agreement.**

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

2 members of the public left the meeting at 8.14pm.

**PM19/08/13**

**TO NOTE PLANNING DECISIONS RECEIVED FROM CORNWALL COUNCIL**

- PA17/11190 – Mr Ellsmore, The Barnyard, Illogan Churchtown, Illogan – Construction of 13 holiday unites and associated works – **REFUSED**
- PA19/02199 – Mr Dustow, Land South of Stores House, Churchtown, Illogan – Submission of reserved matters (access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) following outline approval PA18/05493 – **WITHDRAWN**
- PA19/04060 – Mr & Mrs Richards, 85 Sunnyside Parc, Illogan – Proposed rear extension and conversion of garage to office – **APPROVED**
- PA19/04874 – Mrs Andrew, Chy An Venton, 64 Alexandra Road, Illogan – Proposed rear extension – **APPROVED**
- PA19/04887 – Mr Spokes, Carne View Cottage, Ventonraze, Illogan – Construction of a small single storey extension – **APPROVED**
- PA19/01112/PREAPP – Landmark Architectural Design Ltd, Land Adj to Chy an Elow, Harris Mill, Illogan – Pre-application advice for proposed residential development – **Closed – advice given**
- PA19/01559/PREAPP – J Sawyer, Land West of Redlands, Rosewarne Downs, Camborne – Pre-application advice for a new dwelling - - **Closed – advice given**

## **Planning Appeals**

- PA18/08800 – Mr Briscoe, Land at Trevelyan Road (OS Field 0985), Illogan – Development of site to provide three detached dwellings – **Appeal Allowed by The Planning Inspectorate**

3 members of the public left the meeting at 9.15pm.

**PM19/08/14**

### **TO RECEIVE A REPORT FROM THE CLERK ON HER MEETING WITH MR WHITE ABOUT LITTER PICKING EVENTS; AGREE HOW TO DISPOSE OF THE WASTE COLLECTED DURING THE LITTER PICKING EVENT IN OCTOBER, AGREE ANY FUTURE ACTIONS AND ANY ASSOCIATED EXPENDITURE**

The Clerk reported that she had met with Mr White. He had explained that he held litter picks bi-monthly; more frequently and the turnouts were low. He advised the Council to register the event with Clean Cornwall to receive the free insurance cover. He had provided details of the equipment he purchased and where from. He has also given the contact details for Cornwall Council to arrange collection of the waste, this could be pre-arranged from 1 location or phoned through after the event to arrange multiply collection points.

It was proposed by Cllr Mrs Ferrett, seconded by Cllr Mrs Thompson and:

**PM19/08/14.2**

**RESOLVED**

**that the Council would arrange for the waste collected during the litter pick to be collected by Cornwall Council.**

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

**PM19/08/15**

### **TO RECEIVE CORNWALL COUNCIL'S RESPONSE TO THE REPORT ON WORN WHITE LINES AND MISSING WARNING SIGNS AT PARK BOTTOMS AND AGREE ANY FUTURE ACTIONS**

Cornwall Council had responded stating that the reported issues were in accordance with the Highway Maintenance Manual and did not require attention at this time.

The highways officer during a recent meeting explained that in some areas lines on roads were not being replaced as when drivers were unsure, they slowed down, so it was being used as traffic calming. It had proven successful in some areas.

Members felt that poor road markings were dangerous for road users in the dark and during poor weather condition.

When planning applications were being considered the highways officers were not pre-empting the dangers of access/egress points and the additional vehicles on the roads.

It was proposed by Cllr Ford, seconded by Cllr Pavey and:

**PM19/08/15.2 RESOLVED** that the Clerk contacts CALC to request that they ask NALC to have an agenda item to update and create an effective highways handbook.

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

It was proposed by Cllr Crabtree, seconded by Cllr Mrs Ferrett and:

**PM19/08/15.3 RESOLVED** that the Clerk writes to Cornwall Council stating that this Council is not satisfied with their response regarding the worn white lines and missing warning signs at Park Bottom. There had been straight roads re-surfaced in the area that had had white lines painted on them. There were a lot of cars dangerously pulling straight out of Clifton Road onto Park Road as drivers don't realise it's a junction.

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

**PM19/08/16 TO RECEIVE A REPORT FROM CLLRS FORD AND MRS THOMPSON ON WHERE TO LOCATE THE BIN ON THE FOOTPATH FROM PENWARTHA TO PAYNTERS LANE AND AGREE ANY FUTURE ACTIONS**

It was proposed by Cllr Mrs Thompson, seconded by Cllr Pavey and:

**PM19/08/16.2 RESOLVED** that the bin would be located on the grass by the telegraph pole at the Paynters Lane end of the footpath from Penwartha to Paynters Lane.

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

**PM19/08/17 TO RECEIVE AND APPROVE THE TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THE SPEED SIGNS TASK AND FINISH GROUP AND AGREE ANY FUTURE ACTIONS**

It was proposed by Cllr Ford, seconded by Cllr Mrs Thompson and:

**PM19/08/17.2 RESOLVED** to receive and approve the Terms of Reference for the Speed Signs Task and Finish Group.

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

**PM19/08/18 TO RECEIVE A REPORT FROM CLLR EKINSMYTH ON THE REPORTED CARAVANS ETC ON LAND OFF BRIDGE ROAD AND AGREE ANY FUTURE ACTIONS**

Cllr Ekinsmyth reported that with the assistance of the Clerk, the caravans etc had been reported to Cornwall Council Planning Enforcement. The team were looking into the matter. Cllr Ekinsmyth would monitor the situation and report back to this Committee as soon as he received any information.

**PM19/08/19 TO RECEIVE CORRESPONDENCE UP TO THE DATE OF THE MEETING FOR INFORMATION ONLY**

1. Cornwall Council – Local Town and Parish Council Planning Newsletter July 2019 – items included Planning conferences 2019; What is the Cornwall Planning Partnership; How we are working differently in planning; Changes to permitted developments rights – 25 May 2019; Quarterly planning enforcement report; Useful information for planning consultees; Useful links
2. Article in The Clerk Magazine on Planning Advice – Prior approval scheme and what it means for Clerks
3. Letter from Cornwall Council introducing the Rural Housing Enabler and explaining what they do

**PM19/08/20 TO NOTE ANY INFORMATION REGARDING THE CRICKET FIELD AT MARY’S WELL AND AGREE ANY FUTURE ACTIONS AND ANY ASSOCIATED EXPENDITURE**

There was no information.

**PM19/08/21 TO CONSIDER ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED AT FUTURE MEETINGS**

There were no items raised.

**PM19/08/22 DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting would be held on Wednesday 21<sup>st</sup> August 20148, time to be confirmed in Penwartha Hall.

**PM19/08/23 THAT UNDER THE 1960 PUBLIC BODIES (ADMISSION TO MEETINGS) ACT THE PRESS AND PUBLIC ARE EXCLUDED DUE TO THE CONFIDENTIAL NATURE OF THE BUSINESS TO BE DISCUSSED**

It was proposed by Cllr Mrs Ferrett, seconded by Cllr and:

**PM19/08/23.2 RESOLVED that under the 1960 Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act the press and public are excluded due to the confidential nature of the business to be discussed.**

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

1 member of the public left the meeting at 8.30pm.

**PM19/08/24 TO RECEIVE QUOTES FOR LITTER PICKERS, BAG HOOPS AND HI-VIZ VESTS, AGREE WHERE TO PURCHASE, ANY FUTURE ACTIONS AND ANY ASSOCIATED EXPENDITURE**

It was proposed by Cllr Crabtree, seconded by Cllr Mrs Ferrett and:

**PM19/08/24.2 RESOLVED that no bag hoops were purchased; that the Clerk would obtain samples of litter pickers from Complete Business Solutions and First for Safety;**

**that the purchase of litter pickers would be an agenda item for the next meeting; and that the Clerk orders gloves and Hi-Viz vests from First for Safety as per their quote.**

On a vote being taken the matter was unanimously approved.

There being no further business the Chairman closed the meeting at 8.35pm.

Signed: .....

Date: .....